REMARKS

Company of the Company of Control of the Control of

Reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the present amendment is respectfully requested.

By the present amendment, claims 1-10 and 20 have been cancelled. Claims 22-3? have been added to the application.

Claims 1-10 and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter that was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonable convey to one skilled in the art that the inventors at the time the application was filed had possession of the claimed invention, and 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph as containing subject matter as being indefinite.

As noted above, claims 1-10 and 20 were cancelled and claims 22-31 were added. The new claims attempt to overcome both the 35 U.S.C §112 first paragraph and second paragraph rejections.

Claim 22 is similar to claim 1 except the description of the ignition material has been changed. Claim 22 now recites that the ignition material comprises a mixture of a metal powder and a particulate oxidizer that exothermically reacts with the metal powder. The metal powder is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. The oxidizer has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm. The ignition material deflagrating when the

heating element is heated to a temperature of at least about $250\,^{\circ}\text{C}$.

The limitations recited in claim 22 are supported on:
page 15, lines 15-20, which state,

"Preferred metal powders formed by electro-explosion are electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder.";

page 14, lines 2-3, which state,

"Preferably, the oxidizer incorporated in the ignition material has an average particle size of about 1 μm to about 30 μm ."; and

page 8, lines 4-6, which state,

"The ignition material 48 is a pyrotechnic composition that deflagrates when the bridgewire 44 is heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C."

Thus, claim 22 contains subject matter, which is described in the specification in such a way to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art the applicants had possession of the invention.

Claim 23 depends from claim 22 and recites limitation, which were previously recited in claim 1, but not recited in claim 22. The limitations include that the metal powder includes macro-agglomerates of metal particles and that the metal particles having an average diameter less than about 0.1 μm .

These limitations are supported at page 9, line 9-18, which state that the electro-exploded metal particles

agglomerate into macro-agglomerates that have a consistency of a powder with an average diameter of about 1 μm to about 2 μm .

The language used in claim 23 is neither improper nor misdescriptive. The applicants found (and which is described in the specification) that an electro-exploded metal forms metal particles that have an average particle size less than about 100 nm and that these metal particles agglomerate to form macro-agglomerates that have an average diameter of about 1 μ m to about 2 μ m. It is unknown why these nanoparticles agglomerate (perhaps electrostatic forces?), but was observed that they do. Thus, this language is neither misdescriptive nor improper.

Claims 1-10 and 20 were also rejected under 35 U.S.C.

103(a) as being unpatentable over Baginski in view of Halcomb
et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom.

Claims 1-10 and 20 were cancelled. Claims 22-32 were added to the application. Claim 22 recites an electrically actuatable igniter that includes a pair of electrodes, a heating element electrically connected between the electrodes, and an ignition material in contact with the heating element. The ignition material comprises a mixture of a metal powder and a particulate oxidizer that exothermically reacts with the metal powder. The metal powder is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. The oxidizer has an average particle size of about 1

 μm to about 30 μm . The ignition material deflagrates when the heating element is heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C.

Claim 22 is patentable over Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom because: (1) Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer which has an average particle size of about 1 μm to about 30 μm ; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al.

Baginski, as noted in the Office Action, teaches the basic invention of explosive primers with a pyrotechnic mix around a bridgewire. The pyrotechnic compound can include zirconium and potassium perchlorate, or alternatively other pyrotechnic compounds, such as titanium hydride potassium perchlorate and boron potassium nitrate.

Baginski does not teach an ignition material that includes a metal powder selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium

powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. Baginski also does not teach using an oxidizer that has an average particle size of about 1 μm to about 30 μm .

Halcomb et al. teach a thermite composition that uses a finely divide aluminum powder and a metal oxide such as iron oxide, copper oxide, tungsten oxide, or chromium oxide.

Halcomb et al. do not teach an ignition material that includes a metal powder selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. Halcomb et al. also do not teach using an oxidizer that has an average particle size of about 1 μ m to about 30 μ m.

Dixon et al. teach a lead free combustion primer that includes a metastable interstitial composite. The metastable interstitial composite includes aluminum and molybdenum trioxide having a particle size of about 0.1 μm or less.

Dixon et al. do not teach a metal powder selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. Dixon et al. only disclose that the aluminum particles have a particle size of less than 0.1 µm not that they are formed by electro-explosion. As noted above and in the specification, electro-exploded metals form nano-sized particles that agglomerate into micron-sized powders. Dixon

et al. neither disclose nor suggest that the aluminum particles in Dixon et al. have this feature. Dixon et al. only discloses that the particles form metastable interstitial composites.

and and stability the contract of the contract

Moreover, Dixon et al. teaches away from using an oxidizer that has a particle size of about 1 μm to about 30 μm . Dixon et al. state that the particle size of the MoO $_3$ is preferably less than 0.1 μm .

Wheatley teaches a gas generating composition that includes an ammonium nitrate or a strontium nitrate based oxidizer mixture. (Column 2, lines 21-23). The gas generating composition also includes exploded aluminum powder. The exploded aluminum powder is used as a combustion modifying additive to increase the burning rate and lower the pressure exponent of the ammonium nitrate or strontium nitrate gas generating composition. (Column 3, lines 31-35).

Wheatley does not teach that the oxidizer has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm. Moreover, it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded powder taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions taught in Baginski and Halcomb et al. Wheatley teaches using exploded aluminum as an additive to an ammonium nitrate based gas generating composition to lower the pressure exponent and increase the burning rate of the ammonium nitrate gas generating composition. The ignition compositions taught in Baginski and Halcomb et al., however, do not include ammonium nitrate and would therefore not have a high pressure exponent

ii

and a low burning rate. Hence, there would be no reason to add electro-exploded aluminum to the ignition compositions of Baginski and Halcomb et al.

and the second of the second o

The Office Action suggests that one using the electroexploded aluminum in a similar pyrotechnic composition would expect similar results, and therefor its substitution would have been obvious. The pyrotechnic compositions taught in Baginski and Halcomb et al. are not similar pyrotechnic compositions to the gas generating composition taught in Wheatley. The pyrotechnic compositions taught in Baginski and Halcomb et al. are primary ignition composition that use a metal as the primary fuel in combination with an oxidizer. The composition of Wheatley, in contrast, is a gas generating composition that includes an organic fuel, an oxidizer, and a metal additive. It is mere speculation, at best, whether the addition of a metal additive, which is used to increase the burning rate and lower the pressure exponent of a gas generating composition, would also increase the burning rate and lower the pressure exponent of an ignition composition. Further, there is nothing in the prior art that suggests that this would be even desirable.

Lundstrom teaches a chlorate free auto-ignition composition that includes an azodiformamidine dinitrate, an oxidizer, and an accelerator. The accelerator used in conjunction with the azodiformamidine dinitrate preferably includes a fine iron oxide powder, which has an average particle size of about 3 nm.

Lundstrom does not teach an ignition material that includes a metal powder selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder. Lundstrom also does not teach using an oxidizer that has an average particle size of about 1 μ m to about 30 μ m.

Thus, claim 22 is patentable over Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom and allowance of claim 22 is respectfully requested.

Claim 23 depends from claim 22 and further recites that the metal powder includes macro-agglomerates of metal particles and the metal particles having an average diameter less than about 0.1 μm_{\star}

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim

ing the Salaka Salaka Salaka

23 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 23.

Claim 24 depends from claim 23 and further recites that the macro-agglomerates have an average diameter of about 1 μm to about 2 μm .

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 24 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 24.

Claim 25 depends from claim 24 and further recites that the metal powder has a surface area of about 15 square meters per gram.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an incition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated

metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 25 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 25.

Claim 26 depends from claim 25 and further recites that the oxidizer is selected from the group consisting of alkali metal nitrates, alkaline earth metal nitrates, alkali metal perchlorates, alkaline earth metal perchlorates, alkali metal chlorates, alkaline earth metal chlorates, ammonium perchlorate, ammonium nitrate, and mixtures thereof.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30

μm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electroexploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 26 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 26.

Claim 27 depends from claim 25 and further recites that the metal powder is electro-exploded aluminum powder.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 27 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 27.

Claim 28 depends from claim 25 and further recites that the metal powder comprises about 25% to about 50% by weight of the ignition material and said oxidizer comprises about 50% to about 75% by weight of the ignition material.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al.

Moreover, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al. Dixon et al., Wheatley, Lundstrom do not disclose that the metal powder comprises about 25% to about 50% by weight of the ignition material and the oxidizer comprises about 50% to about 75% by weight of the ignition material.

Baginski and Halcomb et al. do not teach the percentages of the metal fuel and the oxidizer in each of their respective ignition compositions. Dixon et al. teach aluminum at a percentage of 45% and MoO₃ at a percentage of 55%; however, Dixon et al. do not teach that the aluminum is electro-exploded or that the MoO₃ has a particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm. Wheatley teach adding electro-exploded aluminum to a gas generating composition, but only in a weight percentage of up to 20% by weight of the gas generating

į.

material. Likewise, Lundstrom teach adding super fine iron oxide to a gas generating composition, but only in an amount of up to about 10%.

Therefore, claim 28 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 28.

Claim 29 depends from claim 25 and further recites that the ignition material upon deflagration produces an ignition product with a temperature of about 3000°C to about 6000°C.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al.

Moreover, none of the references disclose or suggests an ignition material, which upon deflagration, produces an ignition product with a temperature of about 3000°C to about 6000°C. The only reference that mentions a temperature, is

1

Wheatley. Wheatley, however, teaches that the combustion temperature is below 2300K.

Therefore, claim 29 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 22 and for the specific limitations recited with respect to claim 29.

Claim 30 recites an electrically actuatable igniter that comprises a pair of electrodes, a heating element electrically connected between the electrodes, an ignition material in contact with the heating element. The ignition material comprises about 25% to about 50%, by weight of the ignition material, electro-exploded aluminum powder and about 50% to about 75%, by weight of the ignition material, a particulate oxidizer that exothermically reacts with said electro-exploded aluminum powder. The oxidizer has an average particle size of about 1 μ m to about 30 μ m. The ignition material deflagarating when the heating element is heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C.

Claim 30 contains limitations, which are similar, to the limitations of claim 22. As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded

yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 μm to about 30 μm ; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al.

Moreover, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose that the metal powder comprises about 25% to about 50% by weight of the ignition material and the oxidizer comprises about 50% to about 75% by weight of the ignition material.

Baginski and Halcomb et al. do not teach the percentages of the metal fuel and the oxidizer in each of their respective ignition compositions. Dixon et al. teach aluminum at a percentage of 45% and MoO₃ at a percentage of 55%; however, Dixon et al. do not teach that the aluminum is electroexploded or that the MoO₃ has a particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm. Wheatley teach adding electro-exploded aluminum to a gas generating composition, but only in a weight percentage of up to 20% by weight of the gas generating material. Likewise, Lundstrom teach adding super fine iron oxide to a gas generating composition, but only in an amount of up to about 10%.

Therefore, claim 30 is patentable over the Baginski in view of Halcomb et al. Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom and allowance of claim 30 is respectfully requested.

Claim 31 depends from claim 30 and further recites that the oxidizer is selected from the group consisting of alkali

metal nitrates, alkaline earth metal nitrates, alkali metal perchlorate, alkaline earth metal perchlorates, alkali metal chlorates, alkaline earth metal chlorates, ammonium perchlorate, ammonium nitrate, and mixtures thereof.

As noted above with respect to claim 30, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 31 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 30 and for the specific limitations recited in claim 31.

Claim 32 depends from claim 30 and further recites that the ignition material upon deflagration produces an ignition product with a temperature of about 3000°C to about 6000°C.

As noted above with respect to claim 22, Baginski in view of Halcomb et al., Dixon et al., Wheatley, and Lundstrom do not disclose or suggest an ignition material for an electrically actuatable igniter that deflagrates when heated to a temperature of at least about 250°C and that includes a

metal powder, which is selected from the group consisting of electro-exploded aluminum powder, electro-exploded titanium powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, electro-exploded copper powder, electro-exploded zinc powder, and electro-exploded yttrium powder, and an oxidizer, which has an average particle size of about 1 µm to about 30 µm; and (2) it would not have been obvious to use the electro-exploded particles taught in Wheatley in the ignition compositions of Baginski or Halcomb et al. Therefore, claim 32 is allowable for the same reasons as claim 30 and for the specific limitations recited in claim 32.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the above-identified application is in condition for allowance, and allowance of the above-identified application is respectfully requested.

Please charge any deficiency or credit any overpayment in the fees for this amendment to Deposit Account No. 20-0090.

Respectfully submitted,

Richard A. Sutkus Reg. No. 43,941

TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL,
TUMMINO & SZABO, L.L.P.
1111 Leader Building
526 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-1400

|| Phone: (216) 621-2234 || Fax: (216) 621-4072